EU Grant Agreement number: 290529
Project acronym: ANTICORRP
Project title: Anti-Corruption Policies Revisited

Work Package: WP 2, History of corruption in comparative perspective

Title of deliverable: D2.1 "Two literature reviews on the pre-modern and modern categories of cases respectively "

Due date of deliverable: 31 August, 2013
Actual submission date: 23 August, 2013
Editors: Guy Geltner, Maaike van Berkel

Organization name of lead beneficiary for this deliverable: UNIAM, University of Amsterdam

Project co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme		
Dissemination		
PU	Public	X
PP	Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission	
RE	Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission	
Co	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission	

Fighting Corruption in Premodernity, East and West:
A Literature Review

G. Geltner and Maaike van Berkel (University of Amsterdam)

The premise of ANTICORRP's History Work Package (2) is that a comparative-historical perspective on fighting corruption remains a desideratum. This is especially true regarding the pre-modern world, by which we broadly mean the era prior to the rise of the centralized nation state, with its relatively homogeneous legal system, extensive bureaucracy, advanced technology and communications systems, and a broad consensus over the significance of the rule of law and the value of representative democracy. Other than identifying a scientific lacuna, a focus on premodernity has two major advantages for anti-corruption activists and scholars. First, it helps trace continuities and changes with modernity, at times challenging at other reaffirming the supposed originality of modern methods of establishing legitimacy. Second and no less important, especially in the context of ANTICORRP, gaining insights into premodern practices helps those seeking solutions to current problems imagine what tools may be relevant and applicable in a broader variety of political and administrative contexts, a diversity that continues to characterize our globalizing world. We say this while *explicitly rejecting* any facile analogy between premodernity and the developing or non-Western world today, an approach that often betrays a combination of ignorance about the past and self-righteousness in the present.

1. Fighting corruption in medieval Europe: The Italian city-state

G. Geltner, Department of History, University of Amsterdam

State of the field

Systematic studies on corruption in premodern European polities, be they secular or ecclesiastical, urban or royal, are scarce. The handful of articles (Blockamns 1985; Jordan 2009,) and several insights by administrative and political historians (e.g., Chambers and Dean 1997) have yet to generate a sustained debate on the profile or indeed the diversity of premodern definitions of corruption (or problematize the term vis-à-vis modern approaches), and attempts to fight it. What they have been able to do so far, however, is to indicate that in some cases there definitely was a link, in both the popular and learned imagination, between particular abuses of power and illegitimacy, be it political, moral or a combination of both. The behaviour of court officials and leaders of institutions such as monasteries (abbots) and prisons (wardens) were monitored in a variety of formal and informal ways, for instance by periodic visitations and external supervisory committees on the one hand (Geltner 2008), and their general reputation or *fama* on the other (Fenster and Smail 2003). It is often the case, moreover, that concerns about the potential abuse of power and possibilities for embezzlement were what partly drove some institutions' record-keeping practices (or neglect thereof) and precipitated the establishment of internal criminal courts for professional sectors such as clerics and merchants. Monastic orders cutting across political and linguistic boundaries likewise found it crucial to develop systems of checks and balances (e.g., visitations, annual meetings, etc.), among others in order to maintain pressure on administrators as well as the rank and file to conduct themselves according to the organization's codes, which covered internal and external interactions. In all this, they were clearly influenced by the ideals set out (but hardly original to) the so-called Gregorian Reforms of the 11th century. In other words, some key aspects of political centralization, such as professionalization and bureaucratization, can be traced well before the modern era and—more relevant in our context—be linked also to concerns about what would fall under the modern definition of corruption, namely leveraging public (or common) resources for private (or individual) gain.

Lacunae

Beyond these basically positive indications in terms of the validity of this line of research, there is still a general lack of systematic and comparative studies on pre-modern anticorruption in virtually any sphere. Historians of the church or of religious institutions, for instance, are nowhere near mapping the activities of pertinent courts and supervisory bodies, and have yet to trace the influence of visitation procedures developed in environments such as monasteries and later hospitals and leprosaria, on urban and princely polities in the later Middle Ages and beyond. Intellectual and legal historians too have yet to provide a trajectory of relevant concepts and influences on jurists', theologians', philosophers', and chroniclers' views and prescriptions on the matter, and cultural historians have not focused on the semantic field of corruption or

its manifestations in, for instance, prose fiction or poetry, or any aspect of medieval material culture. Financial historians have likewise yet to sift through the myriad pertinent records for indications of fraud or mechanisms to counter it. No doubt their job is complicated by major uncertainties or rather incompatibilities between the modern and the premodern concepts of public and private domains. On the other hand, and unlike much research about premodern society, there is a relative abundance of promising records: narrative sources, court records, financial accounts, secular and ecclesiastical legal codes, monastic rules and urban statutes, visitation manuals and reports, private documents, appointment letter and charters, city council meetings, systematic theology and political philosophy—many of them accessible in printed edition or else accessible in local archives and manuscript libraries.

Possibilities

While the absence of a comparative perspective can certainly be addressed, it is unlikely, that pre-modern historians will be able to engage in an evaluation of the relative efficacy of particular regimes, measures, or combination of factors in fighting corruption. Yet, as pointed out above, there is an abundance of information on theory, policy, and practice of anti-corruption in medieval Europe. One study cannot cover all of these sufficiently. Accordingly, I decided to focus on analysing a prevalent, well-documented but still neglected practice, namely the so-called *sindacato* or auditing of municipal officials in late medieval Italy (Crescenzi 1981). Specifically I will look at the exceptionally rich records of the Umbrian capital of Perugia in the late thirteenth and fourteenth centuries (and, time permitting, on those of Bologna), which allow us to trace how deviancy in this sense was defined, what mechanisms were put in place to detect it, and how offenders were prosecuted. I would also be interested in situating these procedures in several contexts. The first is a comparative examination of parallel procedures among several Italian city-states. A second a related context is the political trajectory of numerous cities "from commune to signoria" and what role if any did anti-corruption play in it, as least in terms of political propaganda. A third and final aspect worth considering is the transmission of visitation practices from urban/ecclesiastical to urban/secular institutions, and process that, to my knowledge, has not been raised, let alone traced. In short, a single case study offers a point from which to spiral out, in time, space, and documentary evidence, rather far afield.

Synergy

While extraordinarily rich, neither Perugia's archive nor any of the Italian peninsula's city-states will exhaust our investigation. But they will offer a comparative perspective on the one hand with royal and ecclesiastical regimes, and with the Middle Easter cases on the other, especially since the latter regimes were by and large urban based. Another fruitful link can be traced between the relatively precocious practices documented for the Italian city states and much later administrative practices, thus offering a more complex and reliable picture of the historical trajectory of auditing practices.

3

Bibliography

Kreike, E., and W. C. Jordan (2004), *Corrupt Histories* (Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.

González, J., et al. (1998), *Instituciones y corrupción en la historia*, Valladolid: Secretariado de Publicaciones e Intercambio Cientifico.

1. Italian City-States

Chambers, D. S., and T. Dean (1997), *Clean Hands and Rough Justice: An Investigating Magistrate in Renaissance Italy*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Geltner, G. (2008), *The Medieval Prison: A Social History*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Gli officiali negli Stati italiani del Quattrocento (1997), Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, ser. iv, Quaderni 1, Pisa: Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa.

Hertter , F. (1910), *Die Podestàliteratur Italiens im 12. und 13. Jahrhundert,* Leipzig: Teubner.

Jones, P. (1997), *The Italian City-State: From Commune to Signoria*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

L'educazione giuridica, IV; Il pubblico funzionario: modelli storici e comparative vol. 1 (1981), Perugia: Libreria universitaria [esp. articles by V. Crescenzi, 'Il sindacato degli ufficiali nei comuni medievali italiani', 383-530; P. L. Rovito, 'Il *sindacatus Officialium* nel Regno di Napoli. Aspetti e problemi dell'irresponsabilità magistratuale nell'età moderna', 531-76; V. Sciuti Russi, 'Visita e sindacato nella Sicilia spagnola,' 577-92; and D. Balani, 'Ricerche per una storia della burocrazia piemontese nel settecento', 593-639)

Maire Vigueur, J.-C. (2000), *I Podesta dell'Italia comunale* ed., 2 vols., Rome: École française de Rome.

Waley, D., and T. Dean (2010), *The Italian City-Republics*, 4th ed., Harlow: Longman.

Sources

John of Viterbo (1901), *Liber de regimine civitatum*, ed. C. Salvemini, Biblioteca Iuridica Medii Aevii vol. 3, Bologna, 215-80.

'Oculus Patoralis' (1966), ed. D. Franceschi, *Memorie dell'Accademia delle Scienze di Torino: Classe di scienze morali, storiche e filologische*, s.4, 11.

NB: My own research will focus on the records of the Perugian audit: Archivio di Stato di Perugia, Giudice Sgrevatore, Giudice di Giustizia, Maggior Sindaco (1287-1493), bb. 18-20. One comparative source here is the sindication records of Bologna's podestas, on the basis of Archivio di Stato di Bologna, Curia del podestà, Ufficio del Giudice al Sindacato (Sindacato): 27 *buste* covering 1284-1317 and 1379-1408 [for much of the fourteenth century the office is replaced by *I difensori dell'avere e dei diritti di camera*].

2. Ecclesiasitical Milieus
a. Bishoprics and Dioceses
Davis, A. J. (2006), *The Holy Bureaucrat: Eudes Rigaud and Religious Reform in Thirteenth-Century Normandy*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Delisle, L. (1893), *Visites pastorales de maître Henri de Vezelai, archidiacre d'Hiémois, en 1267 et 1268*, Paris: ___

Coulet, N. (1977), *Les visites pastorals*, Turnhout: Brepols.

Le Grand, L. (1914), *Les sources de l'histoire religieuse de la révolution aux Archives nationales*, Paris: Champion.

Liere, F. van (2008), 'Was the Medieval Church Corrupt?' in *Misconceptions about the Middle Ages*, 31-39, ed. S. J. Harris and B. L. Grigsby, Routledge Studies in Medieval Religion and Culture 7, New York: Routledge.

* The Canterbury and York series (http://canterburyandyork.org) has published numerous episcopal registers from this period, including visitation records

b. Religious Houses and Orders

Oberste., J. (1996), *Visitation und Ordensorganisation. Formen sozialer Normierung, Kontrolle und Kommunikation bei Cisterziensern, Prämonstratensern und Cluniazensern (12.- frühes 14. Jahrhundert)* (Vita regularis 2), Münster: Lit.

------, (1994) '*Ut domorum status certior habeatur*. Cluniazensischer Reformalltag und administratives Schriftgut im 13. und 14. Jh.', *Archiv für Kulturgeschichte*, 76: 51-76.

□□□□□□□□'Normierung und Pragmatik des Schriftgebrauchs im cisterziensischen Visitationsverfahren bis zum beginnenden 14. Jahrhundert', *Historisches Jahrbuch* 114: 312-48.

------□□(1999) *Die Dokumente der klösterlichen Visitationen*, Typologie des sources du Moyen Age occidental 80, Turnhout: Brepols.

Thompson, A. H. (1914-29), *Visitations of Religious Houses in the Diocese of Lincoln*, 2 vols. in 3, Publications of the Lincoln Record Society vols. 7, 14, and 21, Horncastle: W.K. Morton.

3. Royal and Princely Courts

Amar-Perez, B. (1997), 'Justice arbitraire à Calahorra: autour d'une spoliation', in *La violence en Espagne et en Amérique (XVe-XIXe siècles): Actes du colloque international "Les Raisons des plus forts"*, 131-41, ed. J.P. Duviols and A. Molinie-Bertrand, Paris: Presses de l'Université de Paris-Sorbonne.

Azcárate Aguilar-Amat, P., (1992), 'Un caso de corrpcion en la Navarra del siglo XIV: el processo contra el procurador real Jacques de Licras', *Hispania: Revista española de historia* 52: 33-57.

Baldwin, J.W. (1986), *The Government of Philip Augustus*, Berkeley: University of California Press.

Blockmans, W. (1985), 'Corruptie, patronage, makelaardij en venaliteit als symptomen van een ontluikende staatsvorming in de Bourgondisch-Habsburgse Nederlanden', *Tijdschrift voor sociale geschiedenis* 11: 231-247

Bundi, M. (2006), 'Das Strafgericht des Grauen Bunds von 1517. Ein früher Versuch zur Bekämpfung der Korruption', *Bündner Monatsblatt: Zeitschrift für bündnerische Geschichte und Landeskunde* 2: 158-75.

Carle, M. del C. (1990), 'La corrupción en la función pública: Castilla signo XV', *Estudios de Historia de España* 3: 131-57.

Damen, M. (2005), 'Corrupt of hoofs gedrag? Geschenken en het politieke netwerk van een laatmiddeleeuwse Hollandse stad', *Tijdschrift voor sociale en economische geschiedenis* , 2: 68– 94.

Jordan, W. C. (2009), 'Anti-Corruption Campaigns in Thirteenth-Century Europe',

Journal of Medieval History 35: 204-19.

Le Jan, R. (2001), 'Justice royale et pratiques sociales dans le royaume franc ai IXe siècle', 149-70, in *Femmes, pouvoir et société dans le haut Moyen Age*, ed. R. Le Gan, Paris: Picard.

Morelli, S. (1997), '"Ad extirpanda vitia": normative regia e sistemi di controllo sul funzionariato nella prima età angioiana', *Mélanges de l'Ecole francaise de Rome. Moyen Age* 109: 463-75.

Storey, R. L. (1971), 'Liveries and Commissions of the Peace, 1388-90', in *The Reign of Richard II. Essays in Honour of May McKisack*, 131-52, ed. F.R.H. Du Boulay and C. M. Barron, London: The Athlone Press.

4. Comparative Perspectives in Pre-Modernity

Berkel, M. van (2011), 'Embezzlement and Reimbursement. Disciplining Officials in 'Abbāsid Baghdad (8th-10th centuries A.D.)', *International Journal of Public Administration*, 34: 712–19

Davis, N.Z. (2000), *The Gift in Sixteenth-Century France*, Oxford: ___.

Egmond, F. (2001), 'Recht en krom. Corruptie, ongelijkheid en rechtsbescherming in de vroegmoderne Nederlanden', *Bijdragen en mededelingen betreffende de geschiedenis der Nederlanden*, 116: 1-33.

Engels, J.I., A. Fahrmeir en A. Nützenadel (2009), *Geld, Geschenke, Politik. Korruption im neuzeitlichen Europa*, Munich: _____.

Fenster, T, and D. Lord Smail (2003), *Fama: The Politics of Reputation in Medieval Europe*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Johansen, B. (2002), 'La corruption un délit contra l'ordre social. Les *qādī-s* de Bukhārā', *Annales. Histoire, Sciences Sociales*, 57: 1561-89.

Kruse, H. (1995), 'Les malversations commises par le receveur général Martin Cornille à la cour de Philippe le Bon d'après l'enquête de 1449', *Revue du Nord* 77: 283-312.

Potter, C. J. (2000), 'Payment, Gift, or Brine? Exploring the Boundaries in Pre-Petrine Russia', in *Bribery and Blat in Russia: Negotiating Reciprocity from the Middle Ages to the 1990s*, 20-34, ed. S. Lovell, A. Ledneva, and A. Rogachevskii, Basingstoke: Macmillan.

Saradi, H. (1995), 'The Byzantine Tribunals: Problems in the Application of Justice and State Policy (9th-12th c.)', *Revue des études byzantines*, 53: 165-204.

Ševčenko, I. (1981), 'Léon Bardalès et les juges généraux, ou la corruption des incorruptibles', in *Society and Social Life in Late Byzantium. Essays by Ihor Ševčenko*, London: Variorum Reprints, 247-59.

Thakur, U. (1979), *Corruption in Ancient India*, New Delhi: Abhinav Publications.

2. Fighting corruption between theory and practice in the medieval Middle East

Maaike van Berkel, Department of History, University of Amsterdam

State of the field

There is as yet no analytical framework for the study of corruption in the medieval Middle East. With two exceptions (Rosenthal 1964; van Berkel 2011), the term corruption is absent from the title of any studies on the medieval Middle East, and is not the focus of any specialized study in this field. More importantly, the concept of corruption, whether in the public or private sphere, has not been problematized or defined in great detail in the context of medieval Middle Eastern history.

A few studies conducted so far deal either with specific cases of corruption or anti-corruption measurements or analyse specific institutions that are relevant for anti-corruption policies. Examples of the first generally deal with specific documents (Bell 1911; Rustow 2010; Richards 1973 and 1977; Stern 1962, 1964 and 1966). Examples of the latter generally deal with the institution of *mazālim* (court of petitions) or cases against dismissed officials (Amedroz 1911; van Berkel 2010; Khan 1990; Nielsen 1985; Rabbat 1995).

More attention has been given to the theory of good governance as described in normative texts (for example, mirrors for princes). However, most of the studies dealing with these mirror and normative texts have a different focus. Corruption or anti-corruption policies are only indirectly discussed (Cook 2003; Crone 2004; Heck 2002; Lambton 1962 and 1981; Marlow 1994 and 2012).

Finally, some works on the broader political culture of the area deserve our attention since they contain relevant discussions on various forms of corruption. Roy Mottahedeh in his study on the Buyid (10th-11th century Iraq and Iran) society, for example, defines the concept of gift giving in the context of the benefits related to power positions and the gratitude related to loyalty networks. Other examples are Van Steenbergen's (2006) and Martel-Thoumian's (1991) studies on the Mamlūk political culture and van Berkel et al (forthcoming 2013) on the ʿAbbāsids.

Lacunae

As is clear from the section on the state of the field lacunae are ubiquitous. A analytical/theoretical framework for the study of corruption in the medieval Middle East should be developed by, firstly, interpreting the concept of corruption and the ideals on good governance on the basis of primary sources and in the context of the constantly changing Middle Eastern societies in the Middle Ages, and secondly, by relating these findings to the definitions and interpretations of the concept by other (historical and anthropological) projects comprising ANTICORRP.

Corruption practices and anti-corruption institutions and measures need to be studied in a more consistent and integrated way. The few institutions that did receive some

attention so far – for example the petition and response procedures – were hardly ever studied from the perspective of anti-corruption policy, nor were they related to other anti-corruption measurements. Finally, also a lot of basic primary research should be done to discover patterns, if they exist, in corruption practices and anti-corruption policy.

Possibilities

Obviously within the timespan of this project I cannot cover all lacunae formulated above. What I will try to do is map and analyse continuities and changes in both the theory on good governance and the practice of anti-corruption measures of two central and important Middle Eastern empires, the ʿAbbāsid (8th-10th centuries) and Mamlūk (13-15th centuries) empires.

Contemporary (changing) ideas/theory on good and bad governance will be studied, departing from the premise that medieval Middle Eastern moral codes on administration do not always correspond with present-day European Union ideals. For example, society's judgment on bribes seems to have been rather mild in the medieval Middle East. And nepotism does not seem to have rang bells at all. So first I will try to analyse what was exactly considered corrupt and was what considered good governance in certain medieval Middle Eastern societies and milieus?

At the same time studying the theory, the ideal, is not satisfactory. The anti-corruption policy of the state was not always in accordance with visions on bad and good governance as described in the normative manuals and mirrors. Practices can be studied from a wide variety of sources, among which petitions and chronicles will be prominent. A first foray into the actual dealings with corruption shows a variety of anti-corruption measures and institutions among state administrations. So far I detected the following institutions:

1. The *maẓālim* (a court of petitions), which dealt, among other things, with grievances brought to the ruler (caliph or *sultān*) regarding abuses by government officials.
2. Administrative procedures against dismissed officials. Already since the 8th century discharged state officials were called to account for their spoils of office and generally had to sign for reimbursement sums after thorough investigations.
3. Irregular installation of audit offices (*dīwān* or *majlis al-zimām*) attached to the main financial departments (*dīwāns*) of both the ʿAbbāsid and the Mamlūk administrations in which officials checked all accounts of the main division to make sure they balanced.
4. The installation of ad hoc investigation teams that were sent to certain districts to check the dealings of local tax administrators or other officials.

I intend to study these (and perhaps other) anti-corruption measures in a comparative manner, first, by analysing continuities and changes between the two major medieval

Middle Eastern Empires of the Abbasids (8th-10th c) and Mamlūks (13th-15th), and secondly, by relating the outcomes of this project to the historical and contemporary views on good governance and anti-corruption practices.

Interestingly, so far, I discovered only very minor developments/changes in theory on good governance between the two Middle Eastern empires under consideration. Although, the administrative ideal was not a static and monolith code – debate existed, for example, on the borderline between allowable gifts (*hadīya*) and illegal bribes (*rashwa*) – there seem to have been no major shifts in how the just ruler should act and protect. I expect more variations in anti-corruption measurements and institutions. For example, some of the institutions mentioned above were specific for either the ʿAbbāsid or Mamlūk era or were present in both but functioned in a different way. I don't think, from the preliminary material I collected so far, a linear development in the direction of less corruption and better functioning anti-corruption measures is visible in time, nor, for that matter, a development the other way around. Within both empires an increasing centralization and bureaucratization is visible, but whether this led to a more effective control of state officials is hard to say, since the material won't allow me to do statistic research. In general, the effectiveness of some specific anti-corruptive measurements are difficult to measure. What I might be able to detect are internal discussions on the effectiveness of certain measurements and shifts in corrupt practices after certain anti-corruptive measurements have been taken.

Synergy
As the outcomes of this Middle Eastern historical project will be compared with the European projects to analyse similarities and differences with European (pre-modern and modern) views on good governance and anti-corruption practices, this project hopes to contribute to the broader history of anti-corruption and detect whether there are specific Middle Eastern, or Islamic, patterns and traditions in both the theory and the practice of good and bad governance.

Bibliography

Primary sources
Primary sources consist of histories, collections of historical anecdotes (in Arbic referred to as works of *adab*), administrative manuals, mirrors for princes and, especially for the later period, petitions and their answers dealing with corrupt officials. For the latter type of source material, the archival material, we must keep in mind that for the medieval Middle East only very limited archival source material has survived. On the other hand the narrative (histories) and normative sources (mirrors and administrative manuals) are often very rich in detail. Here only a selection of the material that will be used is mentioned.

- Petitions, see for example: Khan (1993) and some of the other edited material mentioned below.

- Histories and collections of historical anecdotes, see for example:
    - For the ʿAbbāsid period: Miskawayh, Abū ʿAlī Aḥmad b. Muḥammad (1920-1), *Tajārib al-Umam, The Eclipse of the Abbasid Caliphate*, ed. H. F. Amedroz, trans. D. S. Margoliouth. 6 vols., Oxford.
    - For the Mamlūk period: al-Maqrīzī, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad (1956-73), *Kitāb al-Sulūk li-Maʿrifat Duwal al-Mulūk*, ed. Saʿīd ʿAbd al-Fattāḥ ʿAshūr and Muḥammad Muṣṭafā Ziyāda, Cairo: Lajnat al-Ta'līf wa-l-Tajama wa-l-Nashr.
- Administrative manuals, see for example:
    - For the ʿAbbāsid period: Qudāma b. Jaʿfar (1981), *Kitāb al-Kharāj wa-Ṣināʿat al-Kitāba*, ed. Muḥammad Ḥusayn al-Zubaydī, Baghdad: Dār al-Rashīd lil-Nashr.
    - For the Mamlūk period: al-Qalqashandī, Aḥmad b. ʿAlī (1987), *Ṣubḥ al-Aʿshā fī Ṣināʿat al-Inshā*, ed. Muḥammad Ḥusayn Shams al-Din. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya.
- Mirrors for princes, see for example: al-Māwardī, Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī b. Muḥammad (1985), *Al-Aḥkām al-Sulṭaniyya wa-l-Wilayāt al-Dīniyya,* Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al- ʿIlmiyya.

Secondary sources

Amedroz, H.F. (1911), 'The Mazālim Jurisdiction in the Ahkam Sultaniyya of Mawardi', *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, pp. 635-74.

Bell, H.I. (1911), 'Translations of the Greek Aphrodito Papyri in the British Museum', *Der Islam*, 2, pp. 269-83.

Berkel, M. van (2001), 'A Well-Mannered Man of Letters or a Cunning Accountant: Al-Qalqashandī and the Historical Position of the *Kātib*', *Al-Masāq*, 13, pp. 87-96

Berkel, M. van (2010), 'The Vizier and the Harem Stewardess. Mediation in a Discharge Case at the Court of Caliph al-Muqtadir', in J. Nawas (ed.), *Abbasid Studies: Occasional Papers of the School of Abbasid Studies*, Leuven: Peeters, pp. 303-18.

Berkel, M. van (2011), 'Embezzlement and Reimbursement. Disciplining Officials in 'Abbāsid Baghdad (8th-10th centuries A.D.)', *International Journal of Public Administration*, 34, pp. 712–19.

Berkel, M. van, Nadia Maria El Cheikh, Hugh Kennedy and Letizia Osti (forthcoming 2013), *Crisis and Continuity at the Abbasid Court. Formal and Informal Politics in the Caliphate of al-Muqtadir (295-320/908-32)*, Leiden and Boston: Brill.

Binder, L. (1955), 'Al-Ghazālī's Theory of Islamic Government', *The Muslim World*, 45, pp. 229-41.

Christensen, A. (1936), *L'Iran sous les Sassanides*, Copenhagen: Levin and Munksgaard.

Cook, M. (2003), *Forbidding Wrong in Islam: An Introduction*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Crone, P. (2004), *God's Rule. Government and Islam. Six centuries of Medieval Islamic Political Thought*, New York: Columbia University Press.

Fierro, M. (1996), 'Caliphal Legitimacy and Expiation in al-Andalus' in M. Khalid Masud, B. Messick and D. S. Powers (eds.), *Islamic Legal Interpretation. Muftis and their Fatwas*, Cambridge and London: Harvard University Press, pp. 55-62.

Heck, P. L. (2002), *The Construction of Knowledge in Islamic Civilization. Qudāma b. Jaʿfar and his* Kitāb al-Kharāj wa-Ṣināʿat al-Kitāba, Leiden: Brill.

Khan, G. (1990), 'The Historical Development of the Structure of Medieval Arabic Petitions', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, pp. 8-30.

Khan, G. (1993), *Arabic Legal and Administrative Documents in the Cambridge Genizah Collections*, Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.

Khan, G. (1994), 'The Pre-Islamic Background of Muslim Legal Formularies', *ARAM Periodical*, 6, pp. 193–224.

Lambton, A.K.S. (1962), 'Justice in the Medieval Persian Theory of Kingship', *Studia Islamica*, 17, pp. 91-119.

Lambton, A.K.S. (1981), *State and Government in Medieval Islam*, London and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Marlow, L. (1997), *Hierarchy and Egalitarianism in Islamic Thought*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Marlow, L. (2012), 'Advise and advise literature,' *Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE*. Brill online.

Martel-Thoumian B. (1991), *Les civils et l'administration dans l'état militaire Mamlūk (IXe/XVe siècle)*, Damascus: Institut Francais de Damas.

Mottahedeh, R. (1980), *Loyalty and Leadership in an Early Islamic Society*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Müller, Ch., (2010) 'Redressing injustice. *Mazalim* jurisdictions at the Umayyad Court of Córdoba (eight-eleventh Centuries CE)', in Albrecht Fuess and Jan-Peter Hartung (eds.), *Court Cultures in the Muslim World. Seventh to Nineteenth Centuries*, London and New York: Routledge, pp. 93-104

Nielsen, J.S. (1985), *Secular Justice in an Islamic State: Maẕālim under Bahrī Mamlūks 662/1264-789/1387*, Istanbul: Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut.

Rabbat, N.O. (1995), 'The Ideological Significance of the Dār al-ʿAdl in the Medieval Islamic Orient', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 27, pp. 3-28.

Richards, D.S. (1973), 'A Fāṭimid Petition and Small Decree from Sinai', *Israel Oriental Studies*, 3, pp. 140-58.

Richards, D.S. (1977), 'A Mamlūk Petition and a Report from the 'Dīwān al-Jaysh', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 40, pp. 1-14.

Rosenthal, F. (1964), 'Gifts and Bribes: The Muslim View', *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 108, pp. 135–44.

Rosenthal, E.I.J. (1973), 'The Role of the State in Islam: Theory and Medieval Practice', *Der Islam*, 50, pp. 1-28.

Rustow, M. (2010), 'A Petition to a Woman at the Fatimid Court (413–414 A.H./1022–23 C.E.)', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 73, pp. 1-27.

Steenbergen, J. van (2006), *Order Out of Chaos: Patronage, Conflict and Mamluk Socio-Political Culture (1341-1382)*, Leiden: Brill.

Stern, S.M. (1962), 'Three Petitions of the Fāṭimid Period', *Oriens*, 15, pp. 172-209.

Stern, S.M. (1964), 'Petitions from the Ayyubid Period', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 27, pp. 1-32.

Stern, S.M. (1966), 'Petitions from the Mamlūk Period', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 29, pp. 233-76.

Tyan, E. (1960; 2nd ed.), *Histoire de l'organisation judiciaire en pays d'Islam*, Leiden: Brill.